Interview Summary	Application No. 09/000,824	Applicant(s)  Amarasekera et al.
	Examiner C. Caixi	Group Art Unit 1713
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>C. Caixia Lu</u>	(3)	
(2) Robert Schulman	(4)	
Date of Interview May 9, 2000		
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given	to 🗌 applicant 🛛 a	pplicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	es 🛛 No. If yes, brief	description:
Agreement    was reached.    was not reached.	ı	
Claim(s) discussed: all		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed Applicant's representative disagrees on the teaching		
	<u>'</u>	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
1. $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	separate record of the su	ubstance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to ind LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office act FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT	INCLUDE THE SUBSTAN tion has already been filed	CE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP I, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary about	ove (including any attach	ments) reflects a complete response to

each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

1